Interview Summary	10/828,510	ZIMMERMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KAREN E. TOTH	3735	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>KAREN E. TOTH</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Stephen LeBlanc</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 March 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>9</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment to amend claim 9 to clarify that the substance solution is on the same side of the membrane as the detecting substance.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red	

Application No.

Applicant(s)